

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CL

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/972,802	10/09/2001		George H. Small	104410-100	6750
28765	7590	08/11/2004		EXAMINER	
WINSTON & STRAWN				PHILOGENE, PEDRO	
PATENT DI 1400 L STR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	20005-3502	3732	<del> </del>	

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/972,802	SMALL, GEORGE H.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Pedro Philogene	3732				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
THE   - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Ap</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matte					
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)⊠							
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand on is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/972,802 Page 2

Art Unit: 3732

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reynolds et al. (5,938,666).

With respect to claim 26, Reynolds et al disclose an umbilical cod clamp (10) comprising a pair of arms (11,12,31,32) each having a length in a generally V-shaped configuration having rear end portions joined together at an apex thereof and having free forward end portions normally disposed in spaced apart relation; as best seen in FIG.1, and being movable towards each other for clamping an umbilical cord between the arms; a channel (21,22) that extends substantially along the length of at least one arm and is open at the free end portion thereof to facilitate the escape of fluid therefrom when the clamp is closed; as set forth in column 4, lines 32-58; and a locking portion (15,16,35,36) for securing the arms together when the clamp is closed; as best seen in Fig.2.

With respect to claims 27-30, Reynolds et al. disclose all the limitations; as set forth in column 4, lines 32-58; and as best seen in FIGS.1-9.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/972,802

Art Unit: 3732

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Merritt (5,006,830) in view of Dorsey (6,132,447).

With respect to claims 17-25, it is noted that Merritt teaches all the limitations, except for an identification means comprising a gender-identifying color associated with portion of the clamp to facilitate indentification of the gender of the baby; as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Dorsey evidences the use of a device to provide umbilical devices with gender-identifying color coding (blue for boys and pink for girls) for readily recognizable indicia associated with newborn baby. Inasmuch as one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that this newborn baby indicia would also be advantageous subsequent to umbilical cord severing, it would have been obvious to similarly form the Merritt clamp with such color-coding. Whether the entire clamp is formed of blue or pink or a portion remains a neutral color is clearly a matter of personal preference with no criticality having been advanced for either choice.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-11 are allowed.

#### Response to Amendment

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the

references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Merritt teaches of an umbilical clamp with a color coded identification mark and Dorsey teaches of an umbilical scissor with color coded to identify the gender of the infant. Therefore, given the teaching Dorsey, it would have been obvious modify the clamp of Merritt, as taught by Dorsey to arrive at he clamp as claimed by applicant. Furthermore, applicant stated that the clamp remains on the baby's cord stump, applicant 's attention is directed to Merritt column 3, lines 54-63 where Merritt discloses that the clamp remains attached to the baby's cord stump.

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/972,802 Page 5

Art Unit: 3732

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (703) 308-2252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pedro Philogene August 06, 2004

PEDRO PHILOGENE PRIMARY EXAMINER